



## **U.S. Federal Taxation Distribution Systems Economic and Academic Diplomacy Report**

Your Majesty, You Are The King of Kings.  
The God of heaven has given you dominion and power and might and glory.  
*[Daniel 2:37]*

November 2019 Proposal Report – Public Draft



## King of Kings: Historical Dynasties

Jehoiakim paid Pharaoh Necho the silver and gold he demanded.  
In order to do so, he taxed the land and exacted the silver and gold  
from the people of the land according to their assessments.  
[2nd Kings 23:35]



This 15-page report presented by Brigitte's Technology Consulting and Research Firm provides a direct response framework categorized by Dynasties and political party affiliations to provide transparency on tax distributions. First, evolutionary perspectives were highlighted from the various sources below to note how and why Kings accumulated taxes. The countries of China, Russia, France, and the United Kingdom (UK) were highlighted because of their association with the United States as allies during WWII (1939-1945). Many Kings were heir or reigned over nations at an early age according to these sources, making economic decisions with the assistance of the Popes, noblemen, and regents. At the age of 12, King George III became heir of the throne of Great Britain. At the age of 15, King Francis II reigned over France, and at the age of 16, Nicholas II became *tsesarevich* to the Russian throne (sources below). Other historical patterns may be applied to this report to further emphasize how Kings gave rise to forming a prosperous *Republic* or *Democracy* through taxation systems.



---

### Zhou Dynasty 1046-256 BCE China

---

[abt 1550] Developed urban cities and lived in a rigid separation of social classes. Many of the rich and middle-class people lived inside of the main cities while the poorer people lived in the countryside. Agriculture was still the dominate means of income for Chinese rulers during this time period, but a merchant and skilled labor classes had become a standard feature of society as well. They also developed a standard form of money that was based on shells [bronze technology]. All of these changes allowed China's government to tax the people at a greater rate which means that the government had more economic power than it did in previous times.

[771 BCE] As a result of this growing conflict, rulers could no longer afford to hire their ministers on the basis of birth as talent become far more important. Trade expanded; money and goods circulated mostly through commerce, and rich merchants sometimes won high office. Thinkers competed for the ruler's patronage, moving from one court to the other explaining their social, economic, military and political views in search for employment. The main intellectual focus was practical political and social concerns while metaphysical speculations remained secondary. Arts and philosophy flourished in China like never before.

Sources: Hunter (2012): <https://amazingbibletimeline.com/blog/china-taxes-tithing/>  
Violatti (2018): [https://www.ancient.eu/Zhou\\_Dynasty/](https://www.ancient.eu/Zhou_Dynasty/)

[1900s] Agriculture has remained the largest employer, though its proportion of the workforce has steadily declined; between 1991 and 2001 it dropped from three-fifths to two-fifths of the total. . . . From the 1950s to the '80s, the central government's revenues derived chiefly from the profits of the state enterprises, which were remitted to the state. Some government revenues also came from taxes, of which the most important was the general industrial and commercial tax. The trend, however, has been for remitted profits of the state enterprises to be replaced with taxes on those profits. Initially, this tax system was adjusted so as to allow for differences in the capitalization and pricing situations of various firms, but more-uniform tax schedules were introduced in the early 1990s. In addition, personal income and value-added taxes were implemented at that time.

Source: Encyclopaedia Britannica (2019): <https://www.britannica.com/place/China/Trade#ref258951>

---



**Henry II**

1547-1559

France

---

[1526-1530] League of Cognac-the alliance of France, Milan, Venice, Florence and the Papacy, which is considered the end of the Italian Renaissance

*Source:* Volchek (2017): [https://historystack.com/War\\_of\\_the\\_League\\_of\\_Cognac](https://historystack.com/War_of_the_League_of_Cognac)

[1555] Banks [money chargers], too, came into use in France in imitation of Italy; the bankers being at first submitted to the following severe rules: each must be a born or naturalized Frenchman, obtain royal sanction, and deposit 15,000 livres with the treasury. In 1543, Francis I [France: 1515-1547] was persuaded to establish a bank at Lyons, where commerce made it imperative.

[1556] The royal government encouraged commerce, too; fairs were established and protected. Something was done for sanitation. In the [French] cities every house owner was enjoined to sweep before his own door; it was left to the magistrates to supervise the general cleanliness, and a tax for the purpose was levied on every property holder . . . .

[. . . 1559] Some attempts at protection of native industry against foreign competition mark the period. Duty was levied on Flemish and Spanish imports. In 1540, importation of Italian goods could take place only through Lyons, and of Spanish goods only through Narbonne and Bayonne (showing that some of the latter came by sea). *But such signs of prohibitive measures were as yet rare.* The kings generally let the merchants buy and sell as suited them. Sully looked upon this as a natural right and demanded it for agriculture no less than for industry. Henry IV [France: 1589-1610] during a famine forbade export of cereals, but at the same time explained that “experience teaches us that freedom of exchange between the subjects of one kingdom and those of another is one of the principal means of making them happy, rich, and opulent.”

[1563] Increase in taxation was the ultimate result of the increase in offices following the new organization of government, the development of industry, and the depreciation of money. In 1497, the total revenue was 16,306,000 francs; in 1535, the Venetian ambassador, who was always well informed, estimated the royal revenue at 28,750,000 francs; and in 1563, he makes it out something like 69 million francs. Large cities, such as Paris, Rouen, etc., were free from a portion of the direct taxes, which fell with so much the more weight on the peasantry; but instead the cities were expected to make certain gratuitous gifts to the treasury-gifts which, whether large or small, the Valois expected to grow with the years. These gifts are supposed to have amounted to 455 million francs from the accession of Henry II [France] till the end of the sixteenth century.

*Source:* Wergeland (1904): <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/251034>

---



---

**King George III**

1760-1801

Great Britain and Ireland

---

[1773] Now that the French and Indian War was long over, the colonists felt the soldiers should go home. But the war had cost the British some funds, so they taxed the colonists to alleviate that with a few acts that the colonists felt were too much. The Sugar Act reduced the previous Molasses Act tax by half, but that tax had never really been enforced. While it was a smaller tax, it was highly enforced, causing resentment among the colonists. The Stamp Act came down in 1765, requiring the use of special paper with an embossed stamp for any legal papers. The Townsend Act followed in 1767, which insisted colonists pay taxes on tea and other imported goods. This made them feel that the powers in Parliament were overstepping it and that the colonial governments needed to have a larger say in how the colonists were governed.

Sources: Encyclopaedia Britannica (2019): <https://www.britannica.com/biography/George-III>

McGree (2018): <https://www.theclassroom.com/taxes-did-king-george-impose-new-england-colonies-8316.html>

---



---

**Nicholas II**

1894-1917

Russia

---

[1327] [Prince Ivan I: 1325-1340] maintained cordial relations with the Khan; and, while collecting tribute for the Tatars [Turkic-speaking citizens] throughout his domain, he acquired a reputation for thrift and financial shrewdness that earned him the nickname Kalita (“Moneybag”).

Sources: Encyclopaedia Britannica (2019): <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Ivan-I>

The core of the myth about the Tsar’s “immense wealth” lies in the value of land owned by the Ministry of Imperial Court. . . Altai and Transbaikal, however, were filled with gold, silver, copper, coal and lead mines, which had annual revenues of 6-7. The Tsar enjoyed an annual 200,000 rubles stipend, which included “room money”. . . was used for buying clothes and personal items such as soap, shaving cream and tobacco; also for charity, gifts and awards given by the Tsar; as well as for buying books, magazines, and works of art. The Tsar's money was primarily invested in stock. . .

Manaev (2018): <https://www.rbth.com/history/328825-was-nicholas-ii-richest-man-world>

---



**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**



This report presents direct qualitative data collected via social media July-September 2019 by this Firm to explore the *will* of various United States citizens pertaining to the allocation of their tax dollars to U.S. Federal government programs. One Republican citizen was unsure of the party affiliation of which he identified since changing party a year prior. Several participants experienced difficulties identifying Federal programs. Although 3 participants stated, the response required “thinking”, it may be implied that the majority of participants and potential participants who did not partake in this mini-study shared a similar view. Another Republican citizen wrote what most from all parties iterated, “I am not sure between what is government-funded and locally state-funded”. Responses such as “Education” and “Infrastructure” were too general in nature and the responding participants were asked to expand upon their response.



Using a survey method would have been easier for participants to select options, a third Republican suggested. For the negation member-checking process, several participants did not respond, hesitated, or declined, and 2 participants’ email returned in error. External auditors (EAs) who are U.S. citizens, thus, were solicited to evaluate the remaining themes, which the processes also proved challenging based on the non-response of 3 who agreed to be an EA. Various reasons provided for hesitations or declinations for the member-checking or audit processes were: “I was thinking,” “I have no idea how to answer,” “I would need to do more research on this topic to give a truly informed response,” “too confusing,” “too busy,” “had two meetings with thesis advisor,” “went to sleep,” “over slept,” “I had been sick,” “mother went to hospital,” and “flooding here”.



Regarding taxation protocols, findings from the data from this mini-research project did not identify [*FOR DISTRIBUTION LIST ONLY*]. Procedural protocols (for example, the appointments of government directors, officers, and ambassadors) and operational planning to create [*FOR DISTRIBUTION LIST ONLY*] also were not identified. These major political and diplomatic Federal government protocols are an integral segment of International Security programs. Local, regional, and global discussions and U.S. campaign debates may focus more on these topics to be more transparent about the significance of streamlining these Federal government programs or protocols to achieve economic operating leverage.



**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

*Primary Inquiry:* List only three (3) major federal government programs where you want "your" tax dollars spent.


*Democrat/Nonpartisan Negation Inquiry:* Can you understand how the [specified] program continues to be a main priority of Republicans?

*External Auditor Inquiry:* How can the Federal government optimize operational planning for Federal [specified] programs to increase the confidence level of [specified citizens' party] who want their Federal tax dollars spent on such programs?

Republican Responses	Democrat/Independent Negations
<b>Veterans</b>	
<p><b>Veterans</b> Respondent: Turner, OR</p> <hr/> <p><b>Mental health for veterans</b> Respondent: Buena Vista, GA</p> <hr/> <p><b>Veterans insurance (not the VA, that should be scrapped)</b> Respondent: Katy, TX</p>	<p>The federal government cannot optimize operational planning for the VA and increase the confidence level of both Republican nor Democratic voters in any real way without elected representatives and political appointees seeing important adjustments for the VA as a priority. Seeing that the majority of changes that occur within a federal agency are directives and priorities handed down by political appointees, consequently sweeping rational changes will not occur without having those proper priorities handed down by those who are in political positions of authority within the VA. That being said, the current VA secretary is a Republican nominated to serve under the Trump administration. His name is Robert Wilkie. If the same problems exist under Robert that existed under his predecessors, then that means that maybe the problems that exist aren't particularly solved by party politics.</p> <p>I put forth this thought--maybe what might help Republican voters and appointees is changing how they typically view welfare and social help programs in general. The Republican party tends to not value expanding welfare programs and social help programs. However, most Republicans fail to understand that much of what the VA does and needs funding for falls under the definition of welfare (i.e. mental health, insurance, disability). When the Republican party can realize that expanding funding for welfare programs is a priority and not simply a burden on taxpayers, only then will they help solve the issues that haunt the VA. Unfortunately, many Republicans see welfare programs as a burden and questions those who receive benefits without embracing the social good. In conclusion, veterans cannot simply be supported in word only but also by putting money into and expanding funding for welfare programs including those welfare programs that cater to our veterans who are now civilians. This would effectively give the VA the resources it would need for optimized and effective performance.</p> <p>Democrat External Auditor: Community Planner, GA</p>




**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

Republican Responses	Democrat/Independent Negations
<b>Security</b>	
<p><b>Defense</b> Respondent: McDonough, GA</p>	<p>Is building this wall important to only Republicans? It should be important to all Americans especially the ADOS (Americans Descendants of Slaves). College is not for everyone and some people are settled. In the latter part of the 1940's there were jobs created for those unskilled laborers. Many of these jobs have been sent overseas or replaced by computers/technology. This leaves the pool of unskilled labors needed in this country very small.</p> <p>Those who are coming over the USA southern border are they highly skilled or unskilled workers? Highly skilled workers are not coming over the borders walking/running. The arrival of so many unskilled labors concentrates the already small pool.</p> <p>If I ring your doorbell and you answer. I introduce myself while showing you some form of Identification: You may invite me in. It's up to you. If I enter your home by kicking in the door, slipping through the window, it was called "Breaking and Entry"...Now it's called "Home Invasion".</p> <p>Many are bringing young children in need of health care. There are generations of people here who when they were employed contributed to the health care system. Is it fair for these Americans to share or be cut short of health care by "Home Invaders" who never put a dime into the system?</p> <p>Some will argue those coming over the border are law abiding citizens. If you are law abiding, you would fill out the forms/documents to enter this country while in your country. If you are not born here, how is it you became a citizen? The ADOS should be very angry over the mere fact that that anyone coming here and less than a week are labeled a law-abiding citizen. This is a direct slap in the face for those who actually build this country and are often treated less than what the Constitution says! Some will argue that a wall will not work.</p> <p>In the USA, we have Gated Communities. They seem to be working quiet well. The building of the wall should be of importance to all Americans!</p> <p>Democrat Respondent: Irvington, NJ</p>
<p><b>Military</b> Respondent: Turner, OR</p>	
<p><b>Safety and security of all service people to include police; going after everyone who tried to overtake our government. Upholding all our rights.</b> Respondents: Henando, FL; New Port Richey, FL</p>	
<p><b>U.S. border protection and security</b> Respondents: Durham, NC; McDonough, GA</p>	
<p><b>Build a wall; the wall and legal immigration ending sanctuary states</b> Respondents: Cookeville, TN; New Port Richey, FL</p>	
<p><b>Bring industry to rural counties, such as groceries, chicken plants, hospitals, and homes for low income people</b> Respondent: Buena Vista, GA</p>	
	



**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

Republican Responses	Democrat/Independent Negations
<b>Infrastructure</b>	
<p><b>Infrastructure</b></p> <p>Respondents: Durham, NC; Cookeville, TN; Henando, FL</p> <p><b>Bring industry to rural counties, such as groceries, chicken plants, hospitals, and homes for low income people</b></p> <p>Respondent: Buena Vista, GA</p> <p><b>A full infrastructure development program nationwide (at the expense of military spending)</b></p> <p>Respondent: Katy, TX</p>	<p>The Federal government has several methods for optimizing operational planning for Federal Infrastructure programs. The first is to give contracts to reputable companies with a history of delivering on time and on or under budget. The lowest bidder is not necessarily the best choice, as this can result in poorly constructed roads and bridges. Next, the companies taking the contracts must hire American citizens and buy American products with which to build these structures, unless there is no domestic choice available. Last, ideally the projects must be necessary and not vanity projects designed to boost a congressman's chances of re-election.</p> <p>Democrat External Auditor: Librarian and Archivist, NC</p>
<b>Sustainable Community Development</b>	
<p><b>Space exploration and colonization/mining</b></p> <p>Respondent: Katy, TX</p> <p><b>Public education</b></p> <p>Respondent: Cookeville, TN</p> <p><b>Inner city schools/neighborhoods (audit regularly to ensure results and accountability)</b></p> <p>Respondent: Durham, NC</p>	<p>Republicans have a history of wanting to build a strong sense of independence within the United States. Looking into Sustainable Community Development Programs or alternatives lessen the dependency on other countries for natural resources as an example oil for fuel. Republicans auditing inner cities neighborhoods is a way to prevent fraudulent activities within our welfare system. They believe this would be beneficial to not only taxpayers, but those who depend on the welfare system.</p> <p>Democrat Respondent: Newnan, GA</p>
<b>Biomedical/Disease Prevention</b>	
<p><b>Ending any type of mandatory vaccinations and big pharmacies involvement with our food products and also get rid of the ruling that big Pharmacy is not to be held responsible for any and all untoward side effects, deaths, and disabilities caused by their products they must spend their money on educating people and helping people to recover from the damage that they have done.</b></p> <p>Respondent: New Port Richey, FL</p>	<p>The Republican platform wants to spend money on military and on removing our reproductive rights and equal rights, and rolling back any Obama era policies, including health care and environmental protection.</p> <p>Independent Respondent: Jerseyville, IL</p>
<b>Senior Citizens</b>	
<p><b>Social security</b></p> <p>Respondent: McDonough, GA</p> <p><b>Social security retirement for citizens who have paid into the system</b></p> <p>Respondents: Turner, OR; Henando, FL</p> <p><b>Cut taxes for the elderly and disabled</b></p>  <p>Respondent: Buena Vista, GA</p>	<p>Allow me to share a brief perspective: It is my belief that the Republican Party views Social Security for the elderly as an ineffective program that advances and promotes a socialistic society. For this reason alone, there is an aggressive agenda on behalf of Republicans to steer as far away as possible from such programs that promote equality among its citizens. As well as any other policy that is designed to support and lend itself to the dismantling of social classes. Clearly, such ideologies underscore the founding principles of the Republican Party to abolish social programs that promote not only social equity, but also economic equity in America.</p> <p>Democrat Respondent: Fort Wayne, IN</p>







**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

*Primary Inquiry:* List only three (3) major federal government programs where you want "your" tax dollars spent.




*Republican/Nonpartisan Negation Inquiry:* Can you understand why the [specified] program continues to be a main priority for Democrats?

*External Auditor Inquiry:* How can the Federal government optimize operational planning for Federal [specified] programs to increase the confidence level of [specified citizens' party] who want their Federal tax dollars spent on such programs?

Democrat Responses	Republican/Independent/Conservative Negations
<b>Education</b>	
<p><b>Head start</b></p>  <p>Respondent: Raleigh, NC</p>	<p><b>What can we do: It should be imperative on both parties to agree on head start programs; this is for every child to have challenges and opportunities; we cannot forget this generation will be in charge of this country and might be voted in the Judicial system or one might be POTUS; how can this happen if we all do not go on this mission to save our children.</b></p> <p>Republican External Auditor: Life Health Insurance, FL</p>
<b>Higher Education</b>	
<p><b>Pell grant/educational assistance</b></p> <p>Respondent: Raleigh, NC; Newnan, GA</p>	<p><b>Liberals use government programs and incentives to control people. Thru higher education, they indoctrinate liberal ideas into young adults. Federal student loans promote long term government control on your finances. Democrat party is in favor of all programs that promote government involvement.</b></p> <p>Republican Respondent: Cookeville TN</p> 
<p><b>Affordable college: Maybe junior college paid for by government or some type of merit program where students can earn tuition free - Ohio has a program . . . something about GPA, attendance, no criminal record. I think it is called the Hope Scholarship.</b></p> <p>Respondent: San Antonio, TX</p>	
<p><b>Education: State takes care of public schools. But if a child wants to go to college, the parents apply for federal loans.</b></p> <p>Respondent: Easton, PA</p>	
<p><b>Veterans' benefits: Expand to include education and other entitlements such as license plates and if vets had the GI Bill (Montgomery). They should not have to choose between that and Post 911 benefits. Expand the benefits regardless of the disability ratings since it has been shown that the system the VA uses to award disability benefits is flawed.</b></p> <p>Respondent: San Antonio, TX</p>	
<p><b>I would like to see reparations to only those who are of ADOS (American Descendants of Slaves) status. The reparations do not have to be monetary; however, it could be free college or trade training for the ADOS only! We have very vested interest in this country: On a percentage we the ADOS have won more gold medals in the Olympics and other international sporting events; we have fought/participated in the major wars: Spanish American War, Revolutionary War, WWI, WWII, Vietnam, Korean, and both sides of the Civil War. During Vietnam, we, ADOS, were less than 12% of the nation, yet we were almost 85% of the casualties. We fought these wars believing we demonstrated our love for our country and should be full citizens.</b></p> <p>Respondent: Irvington, NJ</p>	





**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

Democrat Responses	Republican/Independent/Conservative Negations
<b>Economic Benefits</b>	
<p><b>I would like to see my tax dollars create more living wage jobs for ADOS (American Descendants of Slaves). We the ADOS over past 60 plus years have been stepped over by immigrants who will claim a minority status to reap what WE have sowed.</b></p> <p>Respondent: Irvington, NJ</p> <hr/> <p><b>Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)</b></p> <p>Respondent: Fort Wayne, IN</p>	<p>TANF programs are in place to help qualifying needy people for a specified duration. They are not a bargaining tool to be used to solicit voters. They are also not to be used as a scare tactic to discourage voters from a particular party.</p>  <p>Republican Respondent: New Port Richey, FL</p>
<b>Nutrition</b>	
<p><b>Food stamps</b></p> <p>Respondent: Savannah, GA</p> <hr/> <p><b>Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)</b></p>  <p>Respondent: Irvington, NJ</p>	<p><b>I can understand totally why the Federal Nutrition Programs continue to be a priority for Democrats. Many of the people that are in lower-income families live in poverty-ridden inner cities. These programs are used to supplement and sometimes feed children at school and this may very well be the only meal these children get. My main concern is feeding all our children should be the concern of all the parties-Democrats and Republicans alike. It is time we worked on the real issues in this country and stop using the children as weapons and really start taking care of them.</b></p> <p>Independent Respondent: Kernersville, NC</p>
<b>Healthcare</b>	
<p><b>Healthcare</b></p> <p>Respondent: Easton, PA</p> <hr/> <p><b>Medicare</b></p> <p>Respondents: Savannah, GA; San Antonio, TX</p> <hr/> <p><b>Medicaid</b></p> <p>Respondent: Savannah, GA; Newnan, GA; Fort Wayne, IN</p> <hr/> <p><b>Cancer</b></p> <p>Respondent: Savannah, GA</p> <hr/> <p><b>Free Health Care for all who are at least four (4) generation American born.</b></p> <p>Respondent: Irvington, NJ</p>	<p><b>The Republicans have done a poor job in the past when it comes to health care. Prices on cost have outpaced inflation fourfold.</b></p>  <p>Independent Conservative: Sweetwater, TN</p>



**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

Democrat Responses	Republican/Independent/Conservative Negations
<b>Housing</b>	
<p><b>Housing</b> Respondents: Easton, PA; Fort Wayne, IN</p>	<p>The Federal government can optimize the operational planning and relationship for Federal Housing programs to increase the level of confidence of the Democrat office who want their federal tax dollars spent on such programs through implementation of scalable artificial intelligence, learning analytics of program gain/loss, and personalization capabilities. Hence, utilizing data (statistics, research) into a cohesive set of actionable analytics platform specifications &amp; processes to iteratively develop, deploy, and measure the performance against goals of improving programs of the like of foster care through personalized experiences on the Federal platform. The attainment of a deep understanding of business requirements to create and collect data sets that represent the problem space well enough to develop a strategic data acquisition plan should be forecasted to occur with operational planning guidance in the areas of the following: improving individual economic mobility, additional training professional development and initiatives and continuum of care for all stakeholders should be at the forefront.</p> <p>Republican External Auditor: Data Analysis Coordinator Federal &amp; State Funding, NJ</p>
<p><b>Foster care programs</b> Respondent: Newnan, GA</p>  	





**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

*Primary Inquiry:* List only three (3) major federal government programs where you want "your" tax dollars spent.



*Republican/Democrat Negation Inquiry:* Can you understand how the [specified] program continues to be a main priority for Nonpartisan citizens?

*External Auditor Inquiry:* How can the Federal government optimize operational planning for Federal [specified] programs to increase the confidence level of [specified citizens' party] who want their Federal tax dollars spent on such programs?

Nonpartisan Responses	Various Parties Negations
<b>Security</b>	
<b>National defense</b> Independent Conservative: Sweetwater, TN	<b>Accountability is the key. These Independents should request an outline of where their tax dollars are being spent such as a receipt or an itemized requisition. Independents should also consider the power of voting. To vote is the voice of politics. Candidates that are chosen to optimize planning for Federal Security/Defense programs are to be held at the highest level to be equitable and represent every walk of life. Voting should reflect through the chosen candidates the options of the voters and held reliable to the platform the candidates was elected on.</b>  Democrat External Auditor: Naval Reserves Officer, GA
<b>National security</b> Libertarian Respondent: Sioux Falls, SD	
<b>Cease illegal immigration</b> Independent/Republican Respondent: Newnan, GA	
<b>Increase number of women in military cadet programs</b> Independent/Republican Respondent: Newnan, GA	
<b>Infrastructure (Power Grids need to be redundant, with more security. Roads and bridges inspections with repairs. Internal security needs reinforcing. Less foreign aid throughout the world. Supporting countries that support America and Democracy)</b> Independent Conservative Respondent: Sweetwater, TN	
<b>Infrastructure</b>	
<b>Infrastructure (Roads, bridges, tunnels, dams, viaducts, avalanche walls, observation towers, etc. Anything on federal land. Nuclear waste containment, interstate roads, observation towers-park ranger towers; flood prevention dams)</b> Libertarian Respondent: Sioux Falls, SD	I cannot speak regarding the priorities of Libertarians. As an independent citizen, I can attest that infrastructure care programs, while important, are not my main priority.    Republican Respondent: Turner, OR
<b>Environmental Management and Sustainable Development</b>	
<b>Environment</b> Independent Respondent: Jerseyville, IL	Libertarians tend to lean toward environmental concerns above other issues facing the country. In addition, I have found that libertarians believe that climate change is an actual crisis complete with doomsday predictions. Given my understanding of the libertarian position I can see why energy and fossil fuels in general have been a major target for most liberal and libertarian people, including myself. However, it's assumed that the US should lead and force others to comply to the policies and vision which would require a major reconstruction of industry in some countries. The US is ahead or on par with other countries, but we could do more.
<b>Sustainable energy</b> Independent Respondent: Jerseyville, IL	
<b>Enterprise zones</b>   Libertarian Respondent: Sioux Falls, SD	
	Republican Respondent: Katy, TX



**BRIGETTE'S TAX DISTRIBUTION ECONOMIC AND ACADEMIC DIPLOMACY RESEARCH  
PREPARED FOR CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL BY THE U.S. PRESIDENT DONALD JOHN TRUMP**

Nonpartisan Responses	Various Parties Negations
<b>Social and Economic Equity</b>	
<p><b>Working poor</b> No Party Affiliation: Jonesboro, GA</p> <p><b>Welfare</b> No Party Affiliation: No Affixed Specific Location</p> <p><b>Equal rights for all pertaining to the work, especially women who have been suppressed far too long into working in menial jobs and walking away because they are convinced they can go no further.</b> Independent Respondent: Kernersville, NC</p> <p><b>Financial aid: Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA)</b> No Party Affiliation: No Affixed Specific Location</p> <p><b>Do away with more than a two-year term, offered all members of Congress, reduce their salary, and let's deal with social security to live on like the rest of us do.</b> Independent Respondent: Kernersville, NC</p>	<p>Social and Federal Economic Equity programs continue to be a priority for Nonpartisan citizens because the 2-parties (Republican and Democrat) have squared off to maintain the status quo. The two parties have ceased to work for their constituents and the good of the country (they appear to work only for people with money). Another reason is such programs as term limits for Congresspersons would create a collaborative environment and elected official would work together realizing their tenure is limited (in other words, they will not have the luxury of postponing legislature). The Nonpartisans are a minority of elected officials but they get the idea...work together...solve problems (they support the federal social and economic programs because these programs help the middle class citizens who appear to be falling through the cracks when it comes to representations).</p>  <p>Democrat Respondent: San Antonio, TX</p>
<b>Healthcare</b>	
<p><b>Health care</b></p>  <p>No Party Affiliation: No Affixed Specific Location</p> <p><b>Stronger drug and alcohol programs to deal with the offender and the victims</b> Independent Respondent: Kernersville, NC</p> <p><b>Natural healthcare policies and programs</b> Independent/Republican: Newnan, GA</p> <p><b>Veteran healthcare</b> Independent Conservative Respondent: Sweetwater, TN</p> <p><b>Disabled</b> No Party Affiliation: Jonesboro, GA</p>	<p>To optimize such programs, a bold stroke is suggested, both to provide for common health care and end the expenditure of energy on debating whether to change U.S. health care: to nationalize health care along the lines of the Department of Defense's Tricare for military and military retired personnel and families. This will cost more, but in the long run is expected to cost citizens less over a lifetime per capita, as such a new plan will succeed Medicare/Medicaid. The main reason to look toward the DoD's example is that, to its limited extent, the health program is nationalized already and is working. On its face, this suggestion does not seem to have strong (or any) conservative underpinnings. There is a conservative outlook to this suggestion, though: this change, as well as reforming Social Security, would be the only effort at collectivization, and can be referred to when resisting any other societal/national changes with less obvious benefits.</p> <p>Republican External Auditor: Retired Army Officer/Online University Lead, AZ</p>
<b>Senior Citizens</b>	
<p><b>Senior citizens</b> No Party Affiliation: Jonesboro, GA</p> <p><b>Return the money taken from the seniors to pay bills in the general fund</b> Independent Respondent: Kernersville, NC</p>	<p>If the desire is to increase the confidence level of citizens who have their Federal tax dollars spent on senior programs, then the focus should be placed on the individuals' connections to family and the fact that age doesn't discriminate. It is a proven fact that our elderly is living longer and need service to aid them in living a productive life. The focus needs to be on family connections and long-term needs.</p> <p>Liberal External Auditor: Special Education Teacher, NY</p>



The Creation Of  
America's Military Economic Institute:  
A System of Regent Academic Visionaries (RAV)<sup>®</sup>

2020 Global Innovations  
*Making America's Military Even Greater*

[Proposal: A Federal Government Institute Headquartered In The State of Georgia, USA]

LEFT BLANK ON PURPOSE

*[FOR DISTRIBUTION LIST ONLY]*



*[BUILDING IMAGE HERE]*

Proposed Institute Building Designer: Didier Porter II, Architect Interim Designer II

**LEFT BLANK ON PURPOSE**

*[FOR DISTRIBUTION LIST ONLY]*



LEFT BLANK ON PURPOSE  
*[FOR DISTRIBUTION LIST ONLY]*







Distribution:  
The Nobel Foundation (Economic Sciences Prize Committee)  
The Norwegian Nobel Institute (Committee's Secretariat)  
U.S. Department of the Treasury  
Georgia State Governor Brian Kemp

Brenda Lynn Nelson-Porter, ND, DM, CEO/Founder  
Brigette's Technology Consulting and Research Firm, 2019©

[brigittebrenda@aol.com](mailto:brigittebrenda@aol.com)

